	1	2
19.	Punjab	50.41
20.	Rajasthan	20.44
21.	Sikkim	46.69
22.	Tamil Nadu	51.33
23.	Tripura	49.65
24.	Uttar Pradesh	25.31
25.	West Bengal	45.56
26.	A & N Islands	65.46
27.	Chandigarh	72.34
28.	Dadar & Nagar Haveli	26.98
29.	Daman & Diu	59.40
30.	NCT of Delhi	66.99
31.	Lakshadweep	72.89
32.	Pondicherry	65.63

* Excludes Jammu & Kashmir where 1991 cansus was not held

[Translation]

Re-Evaluation of Answer Books of C.B.S.E.

2476. SHRIMATI SUMITRA MAHAJAN : Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state :

(a) the number of students who had applied for the revaluation of their papers after the class twelfth examination held in 1996 by the C.B.S.E.;

(b) the number of such students among them, who have applied for re-evaluation even after passing in first division;

(c) the number of students out of above in the cases of whom the marks have been changed after the re-evaluation;

(d) whether existing system of re-evaluation is being changed by not only totaling the marks, but actually re-evaluating them; and

(e) if so, the changes proposed to be made to bring the transparency and independent control in the system of re-evaluation?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI MUHI RAM SAIKIA) (a) to (c). As per information received from the Central Board of Secondary Education (CBSE), 25465 students had submitted requests for re-checking/verification of marks after the declaration of results of class XII Examination held in 1996. The Board does not award any division to a candidate appearing in the Examination conducted by it. As a result of rechecking/verification, makrs were revised in 1239 cases after detection of mistakes.

(d) No, Sir.

(e) Does not arise.

Water for Irrigation

2477. PROF. OM PAL SINGH NIDAR : Will the Minister of WATER RESOURCES be pleased to state

(a) whether the Government are aware of the non-availability of water for irrigation in Agra, Firozabad, Mathura and Etah districts as a result of which the farmers are facing lot of difficulties and their crops are also being adversely affected;

(b) if so, whether the Government have formulated any scheme for providing water for irrigation in the said districts; and

(c) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF WATER RESOURCES (SHRI JANESHWAR MISHRA) : (a) to (c). Yes, Sir. Details of completed and on-going irrigation projects benefiting the districts of Agra, Firozabad, Mathura and Etah are given in the enclosed statement.

STATEMENT

(Rupees in crores/000 ha.)

S.No.	Name of Projects	Latest estimated cost	Expenditure as on 3/96	Ultimate Irrigation Potential	Potential created as on 6/96
1	2	3	4	5	6
A. Completed Projects					
1.	Upper Ganga Canal Modernisation Project	-	-	699.09	699.09
	P 1/C Upper Ganga Canal	-	-	36.02	36.02
2.	Lower Ganga Canal	-	-	527.74	527.74

1	2	3	4	5	6
3.	Parallel Lower Ganga Canal	-	-	90.00	90.00
4.	Agra Canal	-	-	138.30	138.30
5.	Remodelling Agra Canal	-	-	8.25	8.25
B. Ongoing Projects					
1.	Upper Ganga Irrigation Modernisation Project	725.40	611.41	9.00	
2.	Madhya Ganga Canal Project	543.96	406.03	178.00	165.82
3.	Chambal Lift Irrigation Scheme	60.63	37.93	55.20	-
4.	Bewar Feeder	50.50	42.71	9.80	Nil
5	Modernisation of Agra Canal	51.53	14.14	64.00	5.00

Master Plan on Ganga River

2478. SHRI JAGDAMBI PRASAD YADAV : Will the Minister of WATER RESOURCES be pleased to state :

(a) whether Government propose to prepare a master plan on Ganga river so that destruction of crops can be stopped from flood and the water could be used for irrigation and drinking purposes;

(b) the loss suffered every year due to flood and soil erosion in Ganga, the details of the proper estimates made in this regard;

(c) whether any effective scheme to check soil erosion by Ganga water has been considered or is likely to be considered;

(d) whether Government would ensure safety of farmers' land and increase the production by planting "Kas-Jhoga" plants on both sides of Ganga; and

(e) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF WATER RESOURCES (SHRI JANESHWAR MISHRA) : (a) Ganga Flood Control Commission has prepared comprehensive plans for flood management for all 23 sub-basins of the Ganga. These plans have been sent to the State Governments of the basin States for preparing detailed schemes and implementation. These plans envisage construction of storage reservoirs wherever feasible for flood control which would also provide benefits of irrigation and drinking water.

(b) Details of flood damages in the Ganga basin states are given in the *enclosed statement*.

(c) to (e) The State Government over the years have been undertaking various measures of flood management including anti-erosion works such as embankments, river protection works etc., to mitigate the damages caused by erosion and floods. The State Government prepares schemes for anti-erosion works and implement them as per priorities and availability of funds. The schemes costing more than Rs. 1 crore only are submitted to the Centre for advice and clearance.

STATEMENT

Statement Showing Annual Average Flood Damages in Ganga Basin States

S.No	State	Demage to crops Area	Value	Demage to Houses Nos.	Value	Cattle Lost Nos.	Human Lives Lost Nos.	Damage Public utilities	Total
1	2	3**	4*	5	6*	7*	8	9*	10*
1	West Bengal	.255	37.307	194759	10.857	9999	159	16.888	65.05
2.	Bihar	.657	55.958	149790	10.989	901	84	30.491	97.44
3.	U.P.	1.335	149.39	316340	36.779	1746	275	69.636	255.80
4.	M.P.	0.016	3.023	10774	0.694	2824	22	1.198	4.81
5.	Delhi	0.004	0.502	1796	0.260	17	1	0.142	0.90